# Gateshead Council

# REPORT TO SCHOOLS FORUM

# 19 December 2017

Item 3

# **TITLE OF REPORT: Mainstream Schools Funding Consultation Results**

# **Purpose of the Report**

To bring to Schools Forum the results from the Mainstream Schools Funding Consultation. This builds on two reports on the proposed mainstream schools funding formula for 2018/19 and the consultation document and process.

# Background

The DfE have introduced a national funding formula (NFF) to Local Authorities (LAs) for the Schools Block (SB), High Needs Block (HNB) and Central Schools Services Block (CSSB) from 2018/19. A NFF for the Early Years Block (EYB) was introduced in April 2017. There will be a transition period for mainstream schools for at least 2 years when LAs will still have a role in setting a local mainstream schools formula before the implementation of a hard NFF directly calculated by the Department for Education (DfE).

With the implementation of a NFF to LAs and the increase of funding of at least 0.5% per pupil for all mainstream schools, a mainstream formula review was required to review Gateshead's current formula and help schools transition to the new NFF.

#### Consultation

The Schools and Early Years Finance Regulations and DfE Operational Guidance require LAs to consult with their schools on any proposed changes to local school funding formulas. The consultation ran from 8 to 15 December.

The purpose of this consultation paper was to seek the views of mainstream schools on all these proposals, and whether these proposals are right for all pupils in Gateshead given constraints set by the DfE.

10 completed consultation documents were received by 15 December. Not all questions were answered by all schools.

12 questions received 100% support (1,2,3,4,6,7,17,18,19,20,22 and 23). 11 questions received either 89% or 90% support (8,9,10,11,14,15,16,17,18,24 and 25), and 3 questions received 80% support (12,13 and 14).

The detailed results of the consultation are shown in appendix 1, together with the comments received.

# **Proposal**

It is proposed that Schools Forum approves the proposed funding formula consulted on in appendix 2.

# Recommendations

That Schools Forum

- Note the consultation responses and comments in appendix 1
- Approves the proposed formula as detailed in appendix 2

**CONTACT: Carole Smith Ext. 2747** 

# Appendix 1

	Total		Percentage	
Questions		Yes	Don't Know	No
Q1 Do you accept the proposal to set a positive MFG value of up to 0.5% per				
pupil?	10	100%	0%	0%
Q2 Do you accept the scaling factor of 100%	10	100%	0%	0%
Q3 Do you accept the capping of gains at 3%	10	100%	0%	0%
Q4 Do you accept the proposed change to Primary AWPU rate?	10	100%	0%	0%
Q5 Do you accept the proposed change to KS3 AWPU rate?	10	100%	0%	0%
Q6 Do you accept the proposed change to KS4 AWPU rate?	10	100%	0%	0%
Q7 Do you accept the inclusion of a Current FSM factor in Gateshead's proposed				
Mainstream Schools Funding Formula?	10	100%	0%	0%
Q8 Do you accept the Current FSM proposed funding rate of £440 for both primary				
and secondary pupils?	10	90%	10%	0%
Q9 Do you accept the reduction in primary school Ever6 FSM funding of £103.33				
from £850 to £746.67?	10	90%	10%	0%
Q10 Do you accept the reduction in secondary school Ever6 FSM funding of £205				
from £1,400 to £1,195?	10	90%	0%	10%
Q11 Do you accept the proposed factor values for Primary IDACI Funding?	9	89%	11%	0%
Q12 Do you accept the proposed factor values for Secondary IDACI funding?	10	80%	10%	10%
Q13 Do you accept the proposed factor value to the Looked After Children factor				
value?	10	80%	10%	10%
Q14 Do you accept that the proposed change to the EAL factors?	10	90%	10%	0%
Q15 Do you accept the proposal that the mobility factor funding should be fully				
allocated to all qualifying mobile pupils?	10	90%	0%	10%
Q16 Do you accept the proposed factor values for Primary Low Prior Attainment?	10	90%	0%	10%
Q17 Do you accept the proposed factor values for Secondary Low Prior				
Attainment?	10	90%	10%	0%
Q18. Do you accept the proposed change Primary Lump Sum value?	9	100%	0%	0%
Q19. Do you accept the proposed change Primary Lump Sum value?	10	100%	0%	0%
Q20 Do you agree with the proposal not to include a sparsity factor in Gateshead's				
formula?	10	100%	0%	0%
Q21 Do you accept the funding mechanism for Rates?	10	80%	20%	0%
Q22 Do you accept the proposal to implement the minimum funding levels per				
pupil of £3,300 for primary pupils (excluding Rates and PFI funding)?	10	100%	0%	0%
Q23 Do you accept the proposal to implement the minimum funding levels per				
pupil of £4,600 for secondary pupils (excluding Rates and PFI funding)?	10	100%	0%	0%
Q24 Do you agree the proposal top slice the mainstream schools block by				
£35,000 to maintain the growth fund at £100,000?	9	89%	11%	0%
Q25 Do you accept the proposal not to amend the notional SEN calculation?	10	_		

#### **General Comments**

We have confidence in the work of the Schools Forum in this area and its Sub-group set up to review the Mainstream Funding Formula for 2018/19 to transition Gateshead schools with as little turbulence as possible to the NFF.

The governor's agree entirely with the principles and funding aims agreed by forum and thank the sub group for their work.

The governor's agree entirely with the principles and funding aims agreed by forum and thank the sub group for their work.

It would have been helpful to have been given more time to respond and consider all of the points, as well as have queries answered. The completion deadline of 7 days has been tight

and it has been difficult trying to fit in discussions with fellow governors and then to obtain answers to our queries. I asked several queries and did not receive answers in time to complete the response as I might have liked. We have answered positively to all of the proposals, even those not directly relevant to our school, as we can appreciate what the LA is trying to achieve by softening the transition to NFF and there is a finite sum which needs to be allocated. However, we have several queries that remain unanswered, including those in respect of the MFG and minimum funding level. We also have some concerns that if the proposal is adopted (ref "comparison 3"), it would appear that Lingey House Primary School would be approximately £21k worse off than if the NFF had been fully adopted, many primary schools are in the same position and it would seem that the secondary schools are mostly set to gain.

### Question specific comments

Q4 Although budget is already tight - redundancies?

Q9 Not until we see further modelling on the school impact

Q10 To large a drop for secondary schools with high ever6 funding currently.

Q21 Currently we are claiming 100% rates relief.

Q24 Could detail please be supplied on previous years amounts and how the fund has been spent.

Q25 On the basis that guidance from DfE is awaited.

Q25 On the basis that guidance from DfE is awaited.

Appendix 2 Proposed Authority Proforma Tool summary LA Number: Premises costs to exclude from allocation Rates PFI Split Sites when calculating the minimum funding No Primary minimum per pupil Middle school minimum per Secondary minimum per pupil funding level pupil funding level for funding level Pupil Led Factors Reception uplift **Pupil Units** Proportion of total ore MFG funding (% 1) Basic Entitlement Description Amount per pupil **Pupil Units** Sub Total Total Notional SEN (%) Age Weighted Pupil Unit (AWPU) 14.846.00 rimary (Years R-6) (ey Stage 3 (Years 7-9) £77,518,339 £14,876,668 ey Stage 4 (Years 10-11) £4,385.81 Primary Secondary Eligible Eligible Primary Secondary Sub Total Description proportion o proportion of Total Notional Notional amount pe amount per pre MFG funding (%) pupil pupil primary NOR condary NOR SEN (%) SEN (%) £1,788,160 £440.00 £1,195,00 SM6 £746.67 23.00% 19.00% 1,786.10 1,753.55 2,076.78 DACI Band F £133.33 £193.33 £429,529 0.00% 0.00% DACI Band E £160.00 951.47 1,147.99 £527,951 £1,292,580 0.00% 0.00% £260.00 12.13% £13,094,573 2) Deprivation DACI Band D £482.08 0.00% DACI Band C DACI Band B 0.00% 0.00% 19.00% DACI Band A £726.67 £910.37 722.81 383.28 £874,171 23.00% 19.00% Eligible Eligible Proportion of total proportion of proportion of Description amount per amount per pre MFG funding (%) pupil pupil primary NOR econdary NOR SEN (%) SEN (%) £1,000.00 3) Looked After Children (LAC) LAC X March 16 188.70 £188,699 0.17% 0.00% 4) English as an Additional £430.00 711.85 0.00% £797,919 0.39% £1,010.00 109.04 0.00% Language (EAL) AL 3 Secondary £110,125 Pupils starting school outside of formal entry dates 5) Mobility £2.000.00 £2,000.00 96.50 0.00 £193,000 0.18% 0.00% 0.00% Eligible proportion of Primar Secondar Description Weighting Sub Total Total Notiona Notional primary and pupil eligible pupils pre MFG funding (%) econdary NOR SEN (%) SEN (%) respectively 70.00% £563.33 100.00% 3.807.05 £2.144.628 econdary low attainment (year 48.02% 18.28% 6) Prior attainment £3,467,484 3.21% econdary low attainment (year 48 02% £828.33 18 38% 1.597.02 £1.322.857 100.00% econdary low attainment (yea Other Factors ump Sum per Lump Sum per Lump Sum per Al ump Sum pe Proportion of total Notional SEN (%) Total (£) Primary Schoo Middle Schoo Factor Secondary through School pre MFG funding (% (£) School (£) (£) (£) 7) Lump Sum £113,333.33 8.12% £8,763,333 £130,000.0 8) Sparsity factor Please provide alternative distance and pupil number thresholds for the sparsity factor below. Ple nk if you want to use the default thresholds. Also specify nether you wan Primary pupil number average year group threshold Primary distance threshold Fixed or tapered sparsity primary I Fixed Secondary pupil number Secondary distance threshold Fixed or tapered sparsity seconda Fixed (miles) Middle schools distance average year group threshold Middle school pupil number threshold (miles) average year group threshold All-through pupil number All-through schools distance Fixed or tapered sparsity all-through Fixed threshold (miles) average year group threshold 0.00% 9) Fringe Payments 10) Split Sites £0 0.00% £1,793,379 1.66% 12) PFI funding (3) Exceptional circumstances (can only be used with prior agreement of ESFA) Proportion of total Notional SEN (%) pre MFG funding (% Additional lump sum for schools amalgamated during FY17-18 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% Additional sparsity lump sum for small schools 0.00% Exceptional Circumstance3 0.00% 0.00% Exceptional Circumstance4 0.00% Exceptional Circumstance 0.00% Additional funding to meet minimum per pupil funding level £28,790 Total Funding for Schools Block Formula (excluding MFG Funding Total) (£) £107,984,417 100.00% £9,547,243 14) Minimum Funding Guarantee £209,856 Apply capping and scaling factors? (gains may be 100.00° Capping Factor (%) 3.00% Scaling Factor (%) £138,003 Total deduction if capping and scaling factors are applied Proportion of Total funding(%) MFG Net Total Funding (MFG + deduction from capping and scaling) High Needs threshold (only fill in if, exceptionally, a high needs threshold different from £6,000 has been approved) Additional funding from the high needs budget £0.00 Growth fund (if applicable) £35,000.00 alling rolls fund (if applicable otal Funding For Schools Block Formula % Distributed through Basic Entitlement % Pupil Led Funding 71.79% Primary: Secondary Ratio 1.29

No

Total funding for schools block formula contains funding from outside of the 2018-19 Schools Block allocation?